



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,455	05/26/2005	Guoshun Deng	CU-4125 RJS	7957
26530	7590	05/23/2007	EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY LLP 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60604			LI, CHEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2186	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/529,455	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DENG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Chen Li	<b>Art Unit</b> 2186	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 March 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 March 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/29/05</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

1.

Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 12 recites “a light emitting diode, a LED ...”. Since a LED is a light emitting diode, one of the two elements should be deleted from the claim.

Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 13 recites “video module for combing”, and should recite “video module for combining”.

Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 15 recites “wherein the external storage equipment is a removable disk or a storage card”. This creates ambiguity as to whether the external storage equipment is part of the data exchange and storage device (i.e. whether it constitutes a limitation to Claims 1 and 15). If the external storage equipment is not part of the data exchange and storage device, Claim 15 should be addressed to the external storage equipment interface module rather than the external storage equipment (i.e. an external storage equipment interface module capable of receiving a removable disk or a storage card). If the external storage equipment is part of the data exchange and storage device, the element should be explicitly enumerated in Claim 1.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2186

2.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2 recites "driving device", and it is unclear whether this is modifying all the storage elements or only the optical medium storage. For example, it is unclear whether a hard disk or a hard disk driving device is being claimed. For applying art rejections, the examiner interpret "driving device" to only apply to the optical medium storage.

Claims 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 17 recites the limitation "the information prompt module" in Lines 17 and 21. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 18 recites the limitation "the multimedia data", "the audio module", and "the video module". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 19 recites the limitation "the manual control module". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3.

Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tullis (US 6535243).

Regarding Claim 1, the claim recites “*A data exchange and storage device, comprising:  
a controller module including a firmware used for controlling the operation of each of  
modules in the data exchange and storage device and performing data processing and  
information exchange;*”

Tullis teaches a hand-held digital camera (i.e. data exchange and storage device) that is able to wirelessly transfer data with a host computer. Fig. 2 shows the camera to have a processor (i.e. controller module) that is used for controlling the memory and transceiver (i.e. for controlling the operation of each of modules in the data exchange and storage device) and perform data processing (Col. 4, Line 25) and information exchange (Col. 4, Lines 22-25). Since the processor, which is hardware, is able to perform processing on raw digital image data (Col. 4, Line 25), it is then applying predetermined steps to an image, and qualifies as firmware.

Claim 1 further recites “*an internal memory module for storing data under the control of the controller module;*”

Tullis teaches the digital camera to have built-in memory (Col. 4, Line 42), which qualifies as an internal memory module for storing data under control of the processor (i.e. controller module).

Claim 1 further recites “*a system interface module being connected with a data processing system and performing data exchange with the data processing system under the control of the controller module; and*”.

Fig. 2 teaches a transceiver (i.e. system interface) with a transmitter and receiver, the transceiver being wirelessly connected (Col. 5, Line 23) to a host computer (i.e. data processing system) (Col. 5, Line 43). The transceiver transfers data to and from the host computer (i.e. performs data exchange with the data processing system) (Col. 5, Line 60).

Claim 1 further recites “*an external storage equipment interface module being connected with an external storage equipment and performing data exchange with the external storage equipment under the control of the controller module.*”

Tullis further teaches that removable memory (i.e. external storage equipment) can augment built-in memory (Col. 4, Line 43). Col. 1, Line 40 teaches that removable memory can include detachable memory cards, as taught by Sawanobori (US 6535243), whom Tullis incorporates by reference. Fig. 1 of Sawanobori teaches an interface (Interface CCT) that connects the memory card (i.e. external storage equipment) to the digital camera. The interface allows data to be exchanged from the camera to the memory card (Col. 3, Line 40 of Sawanobori). Then Tullis teaches, through an incorporated reference, an interface that qualifies

Art Unit: 2186

as an external storage equipment interface module. Since the processor of Fig. 1 is able to write to the host computer (i.e. data processing system) and removable memory (i.e. external storage equipment), both are under at least partial control of the processor (i.e. controller module).

Regarding Claim 13, the claim recites “*further comprising an audio module and/or a video module for combining with the internal memory module or external storage equipments to implement a function of playing multi-media.*”

Tullis teaches the digital camera to allow for a voice recording to annotate images (Col. 8, Line 10) where a microphone and speaker makes up an audio module for recording and outputting the voice data (i.e. implement a function of playing multi-media). Further note that the function of playing multi-media constitutes intended use.

4.

Claims 1, 4-8, 10-12, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Battaglia (US 6658202).

Regarding Claim 1, the claim recites “*A data exchange and storage device, comprising: a controller module including a firmware used for controlling the operation of each of modules in the data exchange and storage device and performing data processing and information exchange;*”

Battaglia teaches a portable data transfer and mass storage device (Fig. 1) (i.e. data exchange and storage device) for transferring data from a flash memory (i.e. external storage equipment) to a mass storage device (i.e. internal memory module). The apparatus of Fig. 1 transfers data from the flash card of a digital camera to a mass storage device in the apparatus,

freeing the memory on the flash card. The data on the mass storage device can then be downloaded to a computer. Fig. 2 teaches a system controller logic and a processor that together qualify as a controller module. The processor has associated firmware (Col. 6, Line 1), and performs data processing (Col. 5, Line 15). The system controller logic controls the operations of the interfaces and internal memory (i.e. modules) (Fig. 2) and performs information exchange (Col. 3, Line 45 and Col. 2, Line 25).

Claim 1 further recites *"an internal memory module for storing data under the control of the controller module;"*

Battaglia teaches a mass storage device (i.e. internal memory module)(Fig. 1) for storing data under the control of the system controller logic (i.e. controller module) (Fig. 2).

Claim 1 further recites *"a system interface module being connected with a data processing system and performing data exchange with the data processing system under the control of the controller module; and"*.

Fig. 1 teaches serial/parallel ports (i.e. hardware system interface module), which Fig. 2 shows to include a USB interface, FireWire Interface, or Parallel Port Interface (i.e. software system interface module). The interfaces are connected to a computer (i.e. data processing system) (Col. 5, Line 34) and performs downloading and uploading of data (i.e. data exchange) (i.e. Col. 7, Line 25) with the computer. Since the computer receives data through the system controller logic, it is under at least partial control of the system controller logic (i.e. controller module).



Claim 1 further recites “*an external storage equipment interface module being connected with an external storage equipment and performing data exchange with the external storage equipment under the control of the controller module.*”

Fig. 1 teaches a first flash memory input port and a second memory input port (i.e. external storage equipment interface module) for performing data exchange with a memory module removed from a digital camera (i.e. external storage equipment). Since the memory module transfers data through the system controller logic, it is under at least partial control of the system controller logic (i.e. controller module). Fig. 2 further teaches the second memory input port can have a PCMCIA interface (Col. 4, Line 17).

Regarding Claim 4, the claim recites “*wherein the system interface module includes USB interface, IEEE 1394 interface, Bluetooth interface, IrDA infrared interface, HomeRF interface, IEEE802.11a interface, IEEE802.11b, IEEE802.11g, SCSI, RS232 and printer parallel port, wired wide area/local area interface and/or wireless wide area/local area interface.*”

Battaglia teaches the serial/parallel port (i.e. system interface) that connects the storage device of Fig. 1 to a computer system includes a USB and FireWire (i.e. IEEE 1394) interface (Col. 3, Line 47).

Regarding Claim 5, the claim recites “*wherein the external storage equipment interface module (64) includes SM, CF, MMC, SD, MS, MD or x-D interface.*”

Battaglia teaches the flash memory of the digital cameras (i.e. external storage equipment) to include SmartMedia, Compact Flash, MMC media, or Sony memory Stick (Col. 4, Line 5 and Col. 2, Line 53). Then the flash memory input port of Fig. 1 qualifies as an external storage equipment interface module including one of the interfaces above.

Art Unit: 2186

Regarding Claim 6, the claim recites “*wherein the system interface module and the external storage equipment interface module either includes single interface or a group of interfaces of the same type or different type of interfaces*”.

Battaglia teaches the interface to the computer system (i.e. system interface) to include a USB, FireWire, and Parallel Port Interface (i.e. group of interfaces), that are either the same or different.

Regarding Claim 7, the claim recites “*a power source module for supplying power to the data exchange and storage device from the data processing system through an external power source or a self-supplied battery or the system interface module*”.

Battaglia teaches a power supply in Fig. 2.

Regarding Claim 8, the claim recites “*a manual control module for performing the manual control of data capture and transmission, information prompt, and operational mode switching.*”

Fig. 2 teaches keyboards and buttons (i.e. manual control module) to allow a user to manually initiate download of information onto the mass storage device (Col. 2, Line 66). The keys create a user interface to allow a user to select between downloading data or reformatting the flash memory, the two operations being two operational modes. Col. 3, Line 17 further teaches a LCD display that qualifies as an information prompt, since it allows a user to preview an image before deleting or keeping it. That is, the LCD prompts the user with an image and lets the user decide whether or not to save the image.

Regarding Claim 10, the claim recites “*an information prompt module for displaying static information and dynamic information*”.

Battaglia teaches a LED and LCD display (i.e. information prompt module) that allows the user to decide (i.e. prompts the user) whether to save the image (Col. 3, Line 17). The LED and LCD further display information to the user, with dynamic information such as copy completion status, download-in-progress status (Col. 3, Line 32), or data integrity status and standard-conforming status (Col. 6, Lines 25-30).

Regarding Claim 11, the claim recites “*wherein the information includes user information, product information, device information, transmission file information, operational state information and the information of external storage equipments which are connected therewith.*”

Battaglia teaches transmission file information and operational state information (Col. 6, Line 18 and Col. 3, Line 26), information of external storage equipments (Col. 6, Line 24) through the LED and LCD display. Information showing the integrity of a flash module (Col. 6, Line 30) qualifies as device and product information. The LCD and LED would further be able to display user information, as the exact kind of information displayed does not affect the structure of the LCD or LED or information prompt module, and constitutes intended use.

Regarding Claim 12, the claim recites “*the information prompt module includes at least one of a liquid crystal display, a light emitting diode, a LED, a sound device and a vibrator.*”

Battaglia teaches a LED and LCD display (Col. 3, Line 25).

Regarding Claim 15, the claim recites “*wherein the external storage equipment is a removable disk or a storage card.*”

Battaglia teaches the external storage equipment to be a flash card that is removable (Col. 2, Line 59).

Regarding Claim 16, the claim recites “1) *the controller module of the data exchange and storage device detecting the characteristic information of the external storage equipments connected with the relevant interfaces of the external storage equipment interface module, selecting the relevant interface protocols according to the characteristics information of the external storage equipments, and establishing connections with the external storage equipments;*”

Battaglia teaches detecting the data integrity of a memory module whose data is to be downloaded to the mass storage device (Col. 6, Line 21). Col. 6, Line 25 further teaches checking whether the memory module conforms to a standard format supported by the device. The integrity and the format of the data on the module qualify as characteristic information of the external storage equipment connected with the relevant interfaces of the external storage equipment interface module. Since the firmware checks for the appropriate standard format (i.e. interface), it is inherent that the relevant transfer format (i.e. interface protocol) according to the format of the memory module is selected.

*2) the controller module controlling the data exchange between the data exchange and storage device and the external storage equipment or the data processing system, and controlling the access to the internal memory module and the external storage equipment according to the requests from the data processing system or users.”*

Battaglia teaches in Fig. 2 for the system controller logic (i.e. controller module) to control data exchange between the portable data transfer and mass storage device (title of Battaglia's invention) and a flash memory module (i.e. external storage equipment), where it

Art Unit: 2186

controls access to the mass storage device (i.e. internal memory module) and flash memory cards according to requests from users (Col. 4, Line 65) or computer system (Col. 6, Line 13).

Regarding Claim 17, the claim recites “*the controller module displaying the data read from the external storage equipment or the internal memory module on the display part of the information prompt module according to the operational instructions of the data processing system and the users; and/or*

*outputting the user information, the operational state information of the data exchange and storage device, the relevant information of the external storage equipment through the information prompt module*”.

Battaglia teaches displaying the image (i.e. data) read from the flash cards (i.e. external storage equipment) on the LCD display (i.e. display part of the information prompt module) (Col. 3, Line 18) according to the operational instructions of the data processing system and user (Col. 3, Line 20). Battaglia further teaches outputting status of the copying (i.e. operational state information of the data exchange and storage device) (Col. 3, Line 25) and relevant information of the flash memory module (Col. 6, Line 29) through the LCD and LED (i.e. information prompt module).

Regarding Claim 18, the claim recites “*playing the multi-media data read from the external storage equipment or the internal memory module through the audio module and/or video module according to the operational instructions of the data processing system and the users.*”

Battaglia teaches the data transfer device of Fig. 1 to reproduce music (i.e. play multi-media data read from the flash memory storage through an audio module) (Col. 2, Line 45).

Art Unit: 2186

Regarding Claim 19, the claim recites "*the manual control module transmitting the operational instructions, such as, storing user data, prompting information, switching operational modes, etc., to the controller module.*"

Banglia teaches user interface keys (i.e. manual control module) for allowing a user to initiate copying of data from a flash memory module or to reformat a memory module (i.e. transmitting operational instructions such as switching operational modes) (Col. 3, Line 3).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Battaglia (US 6658202) in view of Su (US 6789164).

Regarding Claims 2 and 3, Claim 2 recites “*wherein the internal memory module includes a hard disk, a removable hard disk, a semiconductor storage, and an optical medium storage driving device.*” Note that the claim recites for a hard disk, semiconductor storage, and an optical medium storage to *all* be present.

Claim 3 further recites that “*the semiconductor storage is selected from one of Flash Memory, DRAM, EEPROM, SRAM, FRAM, MRAM and Millipede, and adopts one or more semiconductor chips.*”

Battaglia teaches the mass storage device (i.e. internal memory module) (Col. 3, Line 53) can include a hard drive that is fixed or removable (i.e. a hard disk or a removable hard disk), and can further include a high capacity flash memory module or battery backed SRAM (i.e. semiconductor storage selected from one of Flash Memory and SRAM).

However, Battaglia does not explicitly teach the mass storage device to further include an optical medium storage. Su teaches an apparatus for directly transferring data from a compact flash memory to an optic disk, without an intervening computer system (Col. 1, Line 29). Then combining Battaglia and Su would naturally incorporate the optic disk as part of a mass storage device.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Battaglia’s mass storage device with Su’s optical disk writing. Su provides the reference in Col. 1, Line 16, where such storage is space-efficient.

Art Unit: 2186

6.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Battaglia (US 6658202) in view of Mansfield (US 5014192).

Regarding Claim 9, the claim recites “*wherein the manual control module includes a dial switch and keys*”.

Battaglia teaches the user interface to contain keys (Col. 3, Line 13), but does not explicitly teach a dial switch. Mansfield teaches a dial switch (Col. 12, Line 14) for locating a file in a mass storage device (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Battaglia’s user interface with a dial switch. Battaglia provides the reference, where the LCD display is meant to allow users to see images stored on the mass storage device. Then a dial switch can allow a user to see all the images of a mass storage device by incrementing through the images, in the same manner as Su teaches incrementing through the files using a dial switch.

7.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Battaglia (US 6658202) in view of Viswanadham (US 6208044).

Regarding Claim 14, the claim recites “*an eject device arranged at the interface of the external storage equipment interface module, the eject device may eject the external storage equipment after being pressed.*”



Battaglia teaches a data transfer device, into which a removable memory module can be inserted and removed. However, Battaglia does not explicitly teach an eject device and pressing an eject device.

Viswanadham teaches an ejection system for a mass storage system, where the ejected medium is a PCMCIA card (Abstract). Viswanadham further teaches an eject button to be pressed for ejecting the card (Col. 3, Line 59). Fig. 1 shows the button to be at the interface of the input port (i.e. external storage equipment interface module). Combining this with Battaglia's second input port would provide for an input PCMCIA slot that can eject PCMCIA cards through an eject button.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Battaglia's mass storage device with Viswanadham's eject device. Viswanadham provides the motivation in Col. 2, Line 20, where manual ejection through a button would obviate the need for a solenoid or motor involved in automatic ejection of PCMCIA cards.

### ***Double Patenting***

8.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re*

Art Unit: 2186

*Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-4, and 7 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4-6 of copending Application No. 10/261001. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other as explained in the column "explanation" below.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

10/261001	10/529455
<p>1. A semiconductor storage method supporting multi-interface, comprising steps: (a) setting up a semiconductor storage device in which is installed a semiconductor storage medium module (10), a controller module (20) to control said semiconductor storage device, and an interface module (40) which supports at least two interfaces of different standards, wherein said interfaces of different standards include serial or parallel or wireless communication interfaces; physically, said modules can exist independently or they can be combined into one or two modules; (b) connecting said storage device, through said interfaces of different standards, to at least one data processing system which has the corresponding standard interface; (c) establishing information exchange channel between said storage device and said data processing system based upon said serial or</p>	<p>1. A data exchange and storage device comprising: a controller module including a firmware used for controlling the operation of each of modules in the data exchange and storage device and performing data processing and information exchange; an internal memory module for storing data under the control of the controller module; a system interface module being connected with a data processing system and performing data exchange with the data processing system under the control of the controller module; and an external storage equipment interface module being connected with an external storage equipment and performing data exchange with the external storage equipment under the control of the controller module.</p>

Art Unit: 2186

parallel or wireless communication interfaces; (d) said storage device exchanges data through said exchange channel with said data processing system and stores data from said data processing system into said semiconductor storage medium module (10); said data processing system can retrieve required data from said semiconductor storage device.	
4. A semiconductor storage method according to claim 1, wherein said serial or parallel or wireless communication interface can be CF (Compact Flash), USB (Universal Serial Bus), IEEE 1394, PCMCIA, True IDE, Bluetooth or wireless LAN interfaces.	4. The data exchange and storage device of claim 1, wherein the system interface module includes USB interface, IEEE1394 interface, Bluetooth interface, IrDA infrared interface, HomeRF interface, IEEE802.11a interface, IEEE802.11b, IEEE802.11g, SCSI, RS232 and printer parallel port, wired wide area/local area interface and/or wireless wide area/local area interface.
5. A semiconductor storage method according to claim 1, wherein semiconductor storage medium used by said semiconductor storage medium module (10) can be Flash Memory, DRAM, EEPROM, SRAM, FRAM, or MRAM.	3. The data exchange and storage device of claim 2, wherein the storage medium of the semiconductor storage is selected from one of Flash Memory, DRAM, EEPROM, SRAM, FRAM, MRAM and Millipede, and adopts one or more semiconductor chips.
6. A semiconductor storage method according to claim 1, wherein working power supply of said semiconductor storage device is provided through said serial or parallel interfaces; in the case that wireless communication interface is used, said semiconductor storage device is self-powered or is externally powered.	7. The data exchange and storage device of claim 1, further comprising a power source module for supplying power to the data exchange and storage device from the data processing system through an external power source or a self-supplied battery or the system interface module.

10/261001	10/529455	Explanation
1	1	Both claims teach a controller module, storage medium, and two interfaces. Although Application No. 10/261001 teaches a first interface to a data processing system and not a second interface to an external storage equipment, the actual device connected to the second interface constitutes intended use. That is, in this Application, the external storage equipment is not part of the data exchange and storage device. The interface is part of the device, and is anticipated by the second interface of Claim 1 of Application No. 10/261001.

Art Unit: 2186

4	4	Both claims teach at USB, IEEE 802.11 and 1394 interfaces
5	3	Both claims teach DRAM, EEPROM, SRAM, FRAM, or MRAM
6	7	Both claims teach a power supply

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shirvaikar ("Digital Camera Design with JPEG, MPEG4, MP3, and 802.11 Features" 2002 Embedded Systems Conference. March 2002. Pages 1-12) teaches a digital camera with internal memory for control and processing (Fig. 2), and an external flash module. Shirvaikar further teaches the video and mp3 playback function on the cameras. Sakamoto (US 6687453) teaches a video output terminal and audio output terminal. Dow (US 6819341) teaches a menu for prompting user information. Nagata (US 5696984) teaches built-in memory and additional memory.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen Li whose telephone number is (571) 270-1384. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM EST (Mon-Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (571) 272-1447. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2186

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CL

May 10, 2007

sjt 5/11/07



MATTHEW KIM  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100